
Alignment of Organizations' Climate-Related Risk Disclosures with Material Risks and Metrics

Elham Kheradmand

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Montreal
Canada, QC H3T 1J4
elham.kheradmand.nezhad@umontreal.ca

Didier Serre

Clearsum
Canada, QC, H2C 3E4
dserre@clearsum.com

Manuel Morales

University of Montreal
manuel.morales.umontreal.ca

Cedric B Robert

Clearsum
cbrobert@clearsum.com

Abstract

The Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) establishes standards to guide the disclosures of material sustainability and ESG (Environment, Social, Governance)-related information across industries. The availability of quality, comparable and decision-useful information is required to assess risks and opportunities later integrated into financial decision-making. Particularly, standardized, industry-specific climate risk metrics and topics can support these efforts. SASB's latest climate risk technical bulletin introduces three climate-related risks that are financially material - physical, transition and regulatory risks - and maps these across industries. The main objective of this work is to create a framework that can analyze climate related risk disclosures using an AI-based tool that automatically extracts and categorizes climate-related risks and related metrics from company disclosures based on SASB's latest climate risk guidance. This process will help with automating large-scale analysis and add much-needed transparency vis-a-vis the current state of climate-related disclosures, while also assessing how far along companies are currently disclosing information on climate risks relevant to their industry. As it stands, this much needed type of analysis is made mostly manually or using third-party metrics, often opaque and biased, as proxies. In this work, we will first create a climate risk glossary that will be trained on a large amount of climate risk text. By combining climate risk keywords in this glossary with recent advances in natural language processing (NLP), we will then be able to quantitatively and qualitatively compare climate risk information in different sectors and industries using a novel climate risk score that will be based on SASB standards.

1 Extended Abstract

The importance of effective climate-related financial risk information and risk communication is crucial to both financial and non-financial actors. In its latest Global Risks Report, the World Economic Forum again identified climate-related risks among the highest likelihood and impact risks of the next ten years [1]. Several frameworks and standards were released to support the development of decision-relevant, climate-related risk disclosures, including Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP) and Climate Disclosure Sustainability Board (CDSB) to name a few. While their overall aim is to improve the availability of quality, comparable and decision-useful information to be integrated into financial decision-making, the reality is that disclosures around material risks are not currently readily available in a standardized format, nor are they systematically reported given the voluntary nature of climate-related disclosures in most jurisdictions. In fact, a review of over 800 annual reports from TCFD-supporting companies showed evidence of cherry picking in the selection of corporate disclosures, which generally ignored material risk information, with little improvement in the quality of disclosures following TCFD implementation [2]. Thus, the availability and high level of transparency of climate-related reporting is necessary to assess climate-related exposures and risks, reduce information asymmetry and enable the efficient allocation of financial resources.

Assessing and managing exposures related to climate risks and opportunities requires industry-tailored disclosures as climate change is likely to materialize differently across industries. As such, SASB's 2021 Climate Risk Technical Bulletin [3] aims to address one of the most commonly cited challenges in implementing TCFD recommendations: the lack of standardized, industry-specific climate risk metrics [4]. While a standardized climate-related disclosure framework has yet to be developed, SASB is recognized as a leader in sustainability-related frameworks, and is positioning itself to play a key role in the future of standardization of climate-related disclosure. It is therefore critical to consider SASB carefully. Further, the expectation of an industry-specific climate-risk disclosure framework like SASB's ESG disclosure framework is highly relevant as it could be used as "a practical tool for companies to use when implementing the TCFD's principles-based recommendations"¹.

The framework proposed by SASB focuses on three categories of climate risks, namely the physical effects of climate change (physical), transition to a low-carbon, resilient economy (transition), and regulatory risks. It also highlights the climate risk categories most relevant and material to each of SASB's 77 SICs industries to create a map of the industries impacted by the various climate risk categories. Using an AI-powered tool, this proposed project will thus make use of the newest NLP technology to review all publicly available disclosures for selected companies, and assess the degree to which past and current disclosures are consistent with the climate risk category mapping presented in SASB's 2021 Technical Bulletin [3]. The main goal of this project is to bring additional clarity on the current state of climate risk reporting in order to improve and increase reporting of climate-related risk financial information.

Climate-related corporate disclosures are relied upon by numerous stakeholders, including investors and financial institutions, to regularly assess associated risks, opportunities and impacts linked to their investment, lending and/or insurance portfolios. They may also be used by financial supervisors and regulatory authorities for prudential purposes. The proposed project will be relevant to investors and financial participants alike as it will help develop a process for determining whether corporations are reporting and sharing climate-related information deemed relevant and material within their industry. This process may also inform corporations themselves on the climate risk categories that may merit further attention in corporate disclosures. Some industry benchmarking may be performed to provide a more holistic view of the state of material disclosures among industry peers, and to assess the gap between a particular corporation relative to its peers. Further regional segmentation over time may be pursued. Similarly, regulators and policymakers may also benefit from this work to assess the current state of industry-specific climate risk disclosures. Finally, the outcome of this project may also benefit frameworks like SASB in better understanding alignment with their industry standards.

More specifically, the use of AI and machine learning applied to climate risk can help with the automated analysis of a high volume of company disclosures. Furthermore, by specializing (open-source) NLP algorithms to ESG domains, we seek to enable the extraction of climate-relevant information (thus reducing the dependency on commercial data providers) which takes us one step

¹SASB Climate Risk Technical Bulletin [3]

closer towards increasing overall transparency. Recently, two types of methodologies have been used to extract climate risk information from company text data such as disclosures, news, and earning calls: 1) traditional, and 2) AI/statistical-based approaches. The traditional approach involves classifying text based on the existence of predefined related keywords [5]. It thus only considers the existence of keywords in a document. In the context of climate change, which is fast-evolving and complex, keyword-based models are not adequate, and require context and interpretation to detect topic patterns which can be implicit and sometimes ambiguous. On the other hand, a statistical-based approach has been used to create bigram to classify text as climate opportunity, physical risk, and regulatory risk [6]. Both approaches are not very good at extracting and measuring contextualized information like the one present in disclosures. Recent advances in NLP techniques and AI, can be now leveraged and existing capabilities to extract climate-related risk information have been improved substantially [7]. For instance, a BERT model² classified pairs of sentences to physical and transition risks in addition to non-climate related data. On the other hand, one downside is that supervised classification models need a large amount of labeled data, particularly to cover the distribution of non-climate risk related data.

To address these limitations, we propose a combination of both keyword and context based approaches to increase the accuracy of the classification model and reduce data labelization tasks for non-climate risk data. In order to do this, we start by developing a climate risk glossary which is trained on climate risk corpus to cover a high number of both unigrams and bigrams. This is the first contribution of our work. In previous works, only 58 climate related unigram keywords are used [8] and Sautner et. al [6] use only bigrams. In this work, we construct both unigram and bigram keywords which are specifically trained from climate risk text data, such as climate risk disclosures and guidance. To the best of our knowledge, this work will be the first AI-based tool applied onto SASB's Climate Technical Bulletin [3].

The glossary and the language models are the building blocks of a classification task which is the central component of our contribution. We set up a classification task where the objective is to classify a given text according to four categories: physical risk, transition risk, regulatory risk and non-climate related. To train and validate the model the data set is extracted from disclosures found in annual reports as well as sustainability and climate reports, such as TCFD, corporate social responsibility, and ESG reports. We do this for the eleven sectors defined in the SASB financial impact channels. After the validation of models, in the final analysis, we apply the classification models to disclosures which cover all SASB's 77 SICs industries. The result of the models helps us to answer the question if specific language is used in explanation of climate risk in each industry or sector in addition to if companies disclose material climate risk, topics, and metrics in their reports.

References

- [1] McLennan, Marsh. (2021) *The Global Risks Report 2021 16th Edition*.
- [2] Bingler, J. A., Kraus, M., & Leippold, M. (2021). Cheap Talk and Cherry-Picking: What ClimateBert has to say on Corporate Climate Risk Disclosures. *Available at SSRN*.
- [3] SASB. (2021) *Sustainability Accounting Standards Board Climate Risk Technical Bulletin 2021 Edition*
- [4] Nisanci, D. A. (2021). FSB Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures. *In World Scientific Encyclopedia of Climate Change: Case Studies of Climate Risk, Action, and Opportunity* 3:3-8.
- [5] Doran, K. L., & Quinn, E. L. (2008). Climate change risk disclosure: A sector by sector analysis of SEC 10-K filings from 1995-2008. *NCJ Int'l L. & Com. Reg.*, **34**, 721.
- [6] Sautner, Z., van Lent, L., Vilkov, G., & Zhang, R. (2020). Firm-level climate change exposure. *Available at SSRN 3642508*.
- [7] Kölbel, J. F., Leippold, M., Rillaerts, J., & Wang, Q. (2020). Ask BERT: How regulatory disclosure of transition and physical climate risks affects the CDS term structure. *Available at SSRN 3616324*.
- [8] Devlin, J., Chang, M. W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.

²One of the state-of-the-art model in NLP is Bidirectional, Encoder, Representations from Transformers (BERT) developed by Google [8].